Application No. Applicant(s) HIBBERT ET AL. 10/080,902 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Jamie H. Swartz 3694 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Kyle Choi. (1) Jamie H. Swartz. (4) Susie Diaz. (2) Charles Kwalwasser. Date of Interview: 02 October 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 36. Identification of prior art discussed: Heffner et al. (20030018558). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art Heffner in view of the amended claims. Discussed the 3 modules and their impact on the target sample size. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required